



Jus Corpus Law Journal

Open Access Law Journal – Copyright © 2026 – ISSN 2582-7820

Editor-in-Chief – Prof. (Dr.) Rhishikesh Dave; Publisher – Ayush Pandey

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 International (CC-BY-NC-SA 4.0) License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium provided the original work is properly cited.

Algorithmic Price Discrimination and Competition Law in Indian E-Commerce

Ajit Raju Kamble^a

^aSymbiosis Law School, Pune, India

Received 28 February 2026; Accepted 28 March 2026; Published 01 April 2026

The rapid expansion of e-commerce in India has ushered in an era of unprecedented convenience and market access. Still, it has also introduced complex legal and economic challenges, particularly in the realm of algorithmic price discrimination. Leveraging advanced algorithms, big data analytics, and artificial intelligence, Indian e-commerce platforms increasingly deploy dynamic and personalised pricing strategies that can optimise profits but also risk undermining consumer welfare and market fairness. This paper critically examines the conceptual underpinnings of algorithmic price discrimination, its legal and economic implications, and the adequacy of India's competition law framework, anchored by the Competition Act, 2002 and enforced by the Competition Commission of India (CCI), in addressing these challenges. Through detailed case studies of major Indian e-commerce platforms (Amazon India, Flipkart, Zomato, Swiggy, Ola, Uber, and MakeMyTrip), the paper explores real-world manifestations of algorithmic pricing and regulatory responses. Comparative jurisprudence from the European Union and the United States is analysed to contextualise India's approach and highlight best practices. The report identifies significant enforcement challenges, including the opacity of algorithmic decision-making, evidentiary burdens, and the digital divide. It concludes with targeted policy recommendations for legislative reform, regulatory innovation, and enhanced consumer protection, aiming to foster a competitive, transparent, and equitable digital marketplace in India.

Keywords: e-commerce, competition, consumer, market, algorithmic.

INTRODUCTION

The digital revolution of the 21st century has fundamentally transformed retail landscapes worldwide, with India emerging as a pivotal player due to its vast population, burgeoning internet penetration, and ambitious government initiatives such as Digital India. E-commerce platforms have redefined consumer behaviour, offering unparalleled convenience, variety, and accessibility. However, this transformation has also surfaced intricate legal, economic, and ethical challenges, particularly concerning the use of algorithmic and personalised pricing strategies.¹

Price discrimination, the practice of charging different prices to different consumers for the same product or service, has long been a feature of commerce. Yet, the advent of sophisticated algorithms and big data analytics has enabled e-commerce platforms to implement this practice with unprecedented precision and scale. In India, where socioeconomic disparities and digital literacy gaps are pronounced, algorithmic price discrimination has the potential to exacerbate existing inequalities, erode consumer trust, and distort market competition.

The legal and regulatory framework governing Indian e-commerce is evolving but faces significant challenges in keeping pace with technological advancements. The Competition Act 2002, designed to prevent anti-competitive behaviour, must now grapple with the complexities of algorithmic collusion, predatory pricing, and data-driven market dominance. The Consumer Protection Act 2019, while strengthening consumer rights, must be interpreted to address the unique challenges posed by online transactions and data-driven pricing. The anticipated Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023, further underscores the importance of safeguarding consumer privacy in an era of pervasive data collection and analysis.²

This paper seeks to provide a comprehensive analysis of algorithmic price discrimination in Indian e-commerce, examining its conceptual foundations, legal and economic implications, regulatory responses, and enforcement challenges.³ By drawing on case studies, comparative

¹ Amaresha Prasad Sahoo, 'Revolutionizing eCommerce: AI-powered dynamic pricing strategies' (2025) 26(2) World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews

<https://wjarr.com/sites/default/files/fulltext_pdf/WJARR-2025-2070.pdf> accessed 26 February 2026

² Ariel Ezrachi, *Virtual Competition: The Promise and Perils of the Algorithm-Driven Economy* (HUP 2017)

³ MARKET STUDY ON E-COMMERCE IN INDIA: Key Findings and Observations (CCI 2020)

jurisprudence, and recent regulatory developments, the paper aims to inform policymakers, regulators, and industry stakeholders, fostering a more equitable and transparent digital marketplace for Indian consumers.

CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK OF ALGORITHMIC PRICE DISCRIMINATION

Economic Theory of Price Discrimination: Price discrimination is a well-established concept in microeconomic theory, referring to the practice of charging different prices to different consumers for the same product or service, not justified by differences in cost. Economists typically distinguish between three degrees of price discrimination:

First-degree (Perfect) Price Discrimination: Each consumer is charged their maximum willingness to pay. This requires detailed information about individual demand and is rare in practice but increasingly feasible with big data and AI-driven analytics.

Second-degree Price Discrimination: Prices vary according to the quantity purchased or product version, such as bulk discounts or premium service tiers.

Third-degree Price Discrimination: Prices differ across identifiable consumer groups based on observable characteristics (e.g., student or senior discounts, geographic segmentation).

Algorithmic pricing, powered by machine learning and AI, enables e-commerce platforms to approach first-degree price discrimination by analysing vast datasets on consumer behaviour, demographics, and purchasing power. This allows for highly granular segmentation and dynamic adjustment of prices in real time, optimising revenue extraction from each consumer segment.⁴

ALGORITHMIC PRICING MECHANISMS AND TECHNOLOGIES

Algorithmic pricing refers to the use of automated, data-driven systems to set and adjust prices dynamically. These systems leverage a variety of data sources, including:

Consumer Data: Browsing history, purchase patterns, location, device type, and demographic information.

⁴ *Algorithms and Collusion: Competition Policy in the Digital Age* (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development 2017)

Market Data: Competitor prices, inventory levels, demand fluctuations, and seasonality.

Behavioural Data: Response to previous price changes, sensitivity to discounts, and engagement with promotional offers.⁵

Machine learning models, such as reinforcement learning and deep neural networks, are increasingly used to optimise pricing strategies. These models can identify patterns and predict consumer willingness to pay, enabling real-time price adjustments that maximise profits while responding to market dynamics.

Personalisation is a key feature of algorithmic pricing. By segmenting consumers based on their data profiles, platforms can offer individualised prices, discounts, or recommendations, often without consumers' explicit awareness. This raises concerns about transparency, fairness, and the potential for exploitation, particularly among vulnerable or less digitally literate consumers.⁶

ALGORITHMIC COLLUSION, ORCHESTRATION, AND TACIT COORDINATION

A significant concern in the digital economy is the potential for algorithms to facilitate collusion either explicitly or tacitly among competitors. Four primary scenarios have been identified in the literature:

Messenger Scenario: Algorithms are used to implement or monitor human-colluded agreements.

Hub-and-Spoke Scenario: Competitors rely on a common pricing algorithm or platform, enabling indirect coordination.

Predictable Agent Model: Firms independently deploy reactive algorithms that adjust to market conditions in similar ways, increasing the likelihood of tacit alignment.

⁵ *Personalised Pricing in the Digital Era* (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development 2018)

⁶ Jeffrey Alan Ackermann, 'Price Discrimination and the Internet: A look at the changing face of the college textbook industry' (2006) 28 *Duke Journal of Economics*

<<https://sites.duke.edu/djepapers/files/2016/10/Ackermann.pdf>> accessed 27 February 2026

Digital Eye Scenario: Self-learning algorithms autonomously optimise prices, potentially leading to collusive outcomes without human intent or awareness.⁷

The opacity and speed of algorithmic decision-making complicate detection and enforcement. Algorithms can monitor competitors' prices in real time, react instantaneously to market changes, and sustain supra-competitive pricing without explicit communication. This blurs the line between lawful parallel conduct and unlawful collusion, challenging traditional antitrust frameworks.⁸

LEGAL AND ECONOMIC IMPLICATIONS

Consumer Welfare, Inequality, and the Digital Divide: Algorithmic price discrimination has profound implications for consumer welfare. On the one hand, dynamic pricing can enhance market efficiency by matching supply and demand, reducing non-monetary costs (such as waiting times), and enabling targeted discounts for price-sensitive consumers. On the other hand, it can exacerbate inequalities by systematically charging higher prices to less informed or less digitally savvy consumers, eroding trust and potentially excluding vulnerable groups from market participation.

Empirical studies indicate that algorithmic pricing can disproportionately affect low-income consumers, exploiting cognitive biases such as anchoring and default options to nudge purchasing behaviour. The aggregation and analysis of consumer data raise significant privacy concerns, particularly when data is collected without informed consent or used for opaque decision-making. The digital divide, the gap between those with access to digital technologies and those without, further compounds these issues in India. Consumers in rural areas, the elderly, and those with limited digital literacy are at greater risk of being disadvantaged by personalised pricing strategies, deepening existing socioeconomic disparities.

Market Competition and Entry Barriers: From a competition law perspective, algorithmic pricing can both enhance and undermine market competition. While increased price transparency and rapid adjustment can intensify competition, the concentration of data and

⁷ *Pricing algorithms: Economic working paper on the use of algorithms to facilitate collusion and personalised pricing* (Competition and Markets Authority 2018)

⁸ Inge Graef, 'Algorithms and Fairness: What Role for Competition Law in Targeting Price Discrimination Towards End Consumers?' (2018) 24(3) *Columbia Journal of European Law*

technological capabilities among a few dominant platforms can create significant entry barriers for smaller players. Access to high-quality data, advanced AI infrastructure, and skilled personnel is often limited to large incumbents, entrenching their market power and reducing dynamism.⁹ Algorithmic collusion, whether intentional or emergent, poses a particular threat to market competition. By facilitating coordinated pricing without explicit agreements, algorithms can sustain supra-competitive prices, reduce consumer choice, and stifle innovation. The challenge for regulators is to distinguish between legitimate competitive behaviour and anti-competitive coordination, especially in markets characterised by high transparency and frequent interactions.

Data Privacy and Consumer Protection: The use of personal data for algorithmic pricing raises critical legal and ethical questions about privacy, consent, and data protection. In India, the Digital Personal Data Protection Act 2023 (DPDP Act) and associated rules impose obligations on data fiduciaries to obtain informed consent, ensure purpose limitation, and provide mechanisms for data erasure and grievance redressal. However, enforcement remains challenging, particularly in the context of opaque algorithmic systems and cross-border data flows. The Consumer Protection Act 2019, supported by the Consumer Protection (E-Commerce) Rules 2020, extends consumer rights to digital transactions, mandating transparency, fair contract terms, and effective grievance redressal. Yet, the Act's provisions must be interpreted and enforced in ways that address the unique risks posed by algorithmic pricing and data-driven marketing.¹⁰

INDIAN COMPETITION LAW FRAMEWORK

The Competition Act 2002: Key Provisions: The Competition Act 2002 is the cornerstone of India's antitrust regime, aiming to prevent practices having an appreciable adverse effect on competition (AAEC), promote and sustain competition, protect consumer interests, and ensure freedom of trade. The Act's key provisions relevant to algorithmic price discrimination include:

⁹ 'CCI publishes a market study on Artificial Intelligence and Competition' (*ELP Law*) <<https://elplaw.in/wp-content/uploads/2025/10/CCI-publishes-a-market-study-on-Artificial-Intelligence-and-Competition.pdf>> accessed 27 February 2026

¹⁰ Nivedita Krishna, 'The Consumer Protection Act, 2019 & E-Commerce' (*PACTA*, 11 September 2020) <<https://www.pacta.in/post/the-consumer-protection-act-2019-e-commerce>> accessed 27 February 2026

Section 3: Prohibits anti-competitive agreements, including cartels, bid-rigging, and vertical restraints. Agreements that directly or indirectly determine purchase or sale prices, limit production or supply, or result in bid rigging are presumed to have an AAEC and are void.

Section 4: Prohibits abuse of dominant position, including imposing unfair or discriminatory conditions or prices (including predatory pricing), limiting production or market access, and leveraging dominance in one market to enter another.¹¹

The Act's definitions are intentionally broad, encompassing formal and informal agreements, concerted practices, and actions in concert. However, the law's anthropocentric focus, requiring evidence of intent, agreement, or mutual awareness, poses challenges in addressing algorithmic collusion and autonomous decision-making.

The Role of the Competition Commission of India (CCI): The CCI is the statutory authority responsible for enforcing the Competition Act, investigating anti-competitive conduct, abuse of dominance, and regulating combinations (mergers and acquisitions). The CCI has increasingly focused on digital markets, recognising the unique challenges posed by algorithms, big data, and AI-driven business models.

Recent CCI Initiatives include -

Market Studies: The CCI has conducted market studies on e-commerce (2019–2020) and artificial intelligence (2024–2025), highlighting concerns about algorithmic collusion, data-driven market power, and the need for regulatory innovation.

Regulatory Guidance: The CCI has issued guidance on self-audit of AI systems, algorithmic transparency, and internal compliance mechanisms for enterprises deploying pricing algorithms.

Enforcement Actions: The CCI has initiated investigations into major e-commerce platforms (Amazon, Flipkart), food delivery services (Zomato, Swiggy), and ride-hailing platforms

¹¹ Competition Act 2002, ss 3 and 4

(Ola, Uber) for alleged anti-competitive practices, including preferential treatment, deep discounting, and price parity clauses.¹²

RECENT REGULATORY DEVELOPMENTS

The CCI's 2025 Cost Determination Regulations represent a significant step toward embedding algorithmic accountability within India's competition law framework. The regulations require firms to maintain documentation of algorithmic pricing decisions, training data, and cost structures, enabling more effective scrutiny of predatory pricing and exclusionary practices in digital markets.

The anticipated Digital Competition Bill, modelled on the European Union's Digital Markets Act, aims to impose additional obligations on large digital platforms, including transparency, non-discrimination, and data portability. However, legislative progress has been slow, and enforcement remains largely reactive rather than pre-emptive.¹³

CASE STUDIES FROM INDIAN E-COMMERCE PLATFORMS

Amazon India: Dynamic Pricing and Regulatory Scrutiny: Amazon India has been at the forefront of deploying AI-driven dynamic pricing strategies, leveraging vast datasets on consumer behaviour, competitor prices, and market trends. The platform's algorithms adjust prices in real time, offering personalised discounts and optimising revenue across product categories.

Regulatory Investigations: The CCI launched an investigation into Amazon (and Flipkart) in 2020, focusing on allegations of preferential treatment for select sellers, deep discounting practices, and potential predatory pricing. The investigation, which has produced extensive findings, centres on whether Amazon's pricing strategies constitute an abuse of dominance or anti-competitive agreements under the Competition Act. Key issues include:

¹² 'CCI getting ready to act against potential anti-competitive ways in AI space: Chairperson' *ET Telecom* (17 March 2026) <<https://telecom.economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/policy/cci-takes-action-against-anti-competitive-practices-in-ai-industry/129622270>> accessed 18 March 2026

¹³ Nimitt Dixit, 'Explainer: How will the CCI's investigations into Amazon and Flipkart change e-commerce in India?' (*Asian Legal Business*, 31 October 2024) <<https://www.legalbusinessonline.com/features/explainer-how-will-cci-s-investigations-amazon-and-flipkart-change-e-commerce-india>> accessed 27 February 2026

Preferential Treatment: Amazon is accused of favouring certain sellers (often with close business ties) in search rankings and promotional campaigns, potentially disadvantaging smaller competitors.

Deep Discounting: The platform's use of dynamic pricing and exclusive deals has raised concerns about predatory pricing, particularly in the mobile phone sector.

Circumvention of FDI Policy: Allegations that Amazon uses preferred sellers to indirectly control inventory and sustain losses, potentially violating foreign direct investment regulations.

Amazon defends its practices as industry-standard and necessary for operating in India's competitive e-commerce market. The outcome of the CCI's investigation is expected to set important precedents for digital platforms in India.¹⁴

FLIPKART: PRICING PRACTICES AND MARKET POWER

Flipkart, India's leading homegrown e-commerce platform (now Walmart-backed), employs similar algorithmic pricing strategies, including real-time price adjustments, personalised offers, and targeted promotions. The platform's commission structure, shipping fees, and fulfillment options are optimised using data-driven analytics.

Regulatory Scrutiny: Flipkart faces similar allegations as Amazon, including:

- **Exclusive Arrangements:** Preferential treatment of select sellers and exclusive product launches.
- **Deep Discounting:** Aggressive pricing strategies that may constitute predatory pricing, particularly in electronics and fashion.
- **Price Parity Clauses:** Requirements for sellers to maintain consistent prices across all sales channels, potentially discouraging competition and innovation.

¹⁴ *Amazon Seller Services Private Limited, represented by its Authorized Signatory Mr. Rahul Sundaram v Competition Commission of India, represented by its Secretary and Ors* (2021) SCC OnLine Kar 12626

The CCI's investigation into Flipkart is ongoing, with potential implications for the platform's business model and the broader e-commerce ecosystem.¹⁵

COMPARATIVE JURISPRUDENCE: EU AND US APPROACHES

European Union: The European Union has been proactive in addressing the challenges posed by algorithmic pricing and digital market dominance. Key regulatory instruments and enforcement actions include:

EU AI Act (2024): Introduces a risk-based framework for AI systems, mandating transparency, accountability, and conformity assessments for high-risk applications, including algorithmic pricing in digital markets.

Digital Markets Act (DMA): Imposes obligations on large digital platforms (gatekeepers) to ensure fair competition, data portability, and non-discrimination.

Articles 101 and 102 TFEU: Prohibit anti-competitive agreements and abuse of dominance, including algorithmic collusion and discriminatory pricing.

Competition and Markets Authority (CMA): The UK's CMA has taken enforcement actions against companies using AI-powered pricing software to monitor and enforce pricing policies, treating algorithmic enforcement as a breach of competition law. The CMA's guidance emphasises the need for transparency, accountability, and risk assessment in the deployment of pricing algorithms.

The EU's approach emphasises ex ante regulation, algorithmic audits, and proactive market studies to identify and address structural competition problems before they materialise. The New Competition Tool (NCT) is proposed as an ex-ante instrument to tackle tacit collusion and market inefficiencies in oligopolistic sectors.¹⁶

United States: The United States adopts a more decentralised, sector-specific approach to regulating algorithmic pricing and competition in digital markets:

¹⁵ *Flipkart Internet Private Limited, through its Authorized Signatory Shri Sukant Dukhande v Competition Commission of India, through its Secretary and Ors* (2021) SCC OnLine Kar 12626

¹⁶ Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union 2012, art 102(c)

Sherman Antitrust Act and Clayton Act: Prohibit monopolisation, price-fixing, and discriminatory pricing. The Robinson-Patman Act specifically addresses price discrimination and its impact on competition.

Federal Trade Commission (FTC) and Department of Justice (DOJ): Enforce antitrust laws, with increasing focus on algorithmic collusion and digital market dominance.

Case Law: In *United States v David Topkins (2015)*, the DOJ prosecuted a seller for using an algorithm to coordinate prices with competitors on Amazon Marketplace, marking the first criminal antitrust case involving AI-driven price-fixing.¹⁷

Policy Guidance: The FTC and DOJ have issued guidance on the risks of algorithmic collusion, emphasising the need for transparency, record-keeping, and compliance with existing antitrust laws.

The US approach relies on ex post enforcement, with a focus on prosecuting explicit collusion and abuse of dominance. However, there is growing recognition of the need for proactive regulation and international cooperation to address the unique challenges of algorithmic pricing in digital markets.¹⁸

CONCLUSION

Algorithmic price discrimination in Indian e-commerce represents a complex interplay of technological innovation, market dynamics, and legal regulation. While AI-driven pricing strategies can enhance efficiency and consumer choice, they also pose significant risks to market competition, consumer welfare, and social equity. The current legal framework, anchored by the Competition Act 2002 and enforced by the CCI, provides a foundation for regulatory oversight but requires significant adaptation to address the unique challenges of algorithmic decision-making and digital market power.

Case studies of major Indian e-commerce platforms illustrate the real-world manifestations of algorithmic pricing and the regulatory responses to date. Comparative analysis with the

¹⁷ *U S v David Topkins* No CR 15-00201 WHO [2015]

¹⁸ United States Department of Justice and Federal Trade Commission, *Antitrust Guidance for the Digital Economy* (Joint Statement 2020)

European Union and the United States highlights the need for a balanced approach that fosters innovation while safeguarding competition and consumer rights.

To ensure a fair, transparent, and competitive digital marketplace, India must pursue legislative reform, regulatory innovation, enhanced consumer protection, and capacity building. By embracing algorithmic transparency, proactive enforcement, and international cooperation, India can harness the benefits of digital transformation while mitigating its risks, ensuring that the gains of the digital economy are shared equitably across all segments of society.