

# Jus Corpus Law Journal

Open Access Law Journal – Copyright © 2025 – ISSN 2582-7820 Editor-in-Chief – Prof. (Dr.) Rhishikesh Dave; Publisher – Ayush Pandey

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 International (CC-BY-NC-SA 4.0) License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium provided the original work is properly cited.

# Refugee Rights under International Law: Legal Obligations of States and the Function of the UNHCR

Khushbu<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Bharati Vidyapeeth Institute of Management and Research, New Delhi, India

Received 28 February 2025; Accepted 28 March 2025; Published 31 March 2025

Global migrant rights are based on a vast array of laws designed to protect refugees fleeing persecution, conflict, and abuses of their human rights. The principle of non-refoulement— which asserts that refugees cannot be returned to their home countries if it would put them in danger— is enshrined in the 1951 Refugee Convention and the 1967 Protocol. Various regional frameworks, such as the Cartagena Declaration and the OAU Refugee Convention, offer additional safeguards for the protection of refugees. Beyond providing long-term solutions like resettlement, local integration, and voluntary repatriation, it is a legal obligation for governments to ensure fair access to education, job opportunities, healthcare, and asylum processes. However, refugee protection continues to face challenges from xenophobia, strict migration policies, and insufficient funding. To ensure compliance with international refugee law, the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) plays a vital role in advocacy, humanitarian assistance, and legal monitoring. New challenges such as displacement driven by climate change, ongoing refugee situations, and forced mass migration necessitate enhanced global collaboration and policy reforms. There is a need to strengthen responsibility-sharing mechanisms, refugee protection systems, and sustainable solutions to uphold human dignity and justice for displaced individuals worldwide.

**Keywords:** refugee rights, international law, 1951 refugee convention, 1967 protocol, non-refoulement, asylum, refugee protection.

# **INTRODUCTION**

The concept of refugees is neither new nor unique. Throughout history, refugees have always been present. Still, the responsibility of the international community to protect and address this issue arose only after the establishment of the League of Nations and the appointment of Dr. Fridtjof Nansen as its first High Commissioner for Russian refugees in 1921. The League of Nations categorised refugees based on their nationalities. Subsequently, Dr. Nansen's role was expanded to include additional groups of immigrants, such as Armenians in 1924 and Assyrian, Assyro-Chaldean, and Turkish refugees in 1928. Until 1950, several international bodies focused on refugees were created and dissolved by the League of Nations and the UN in Europe. Yet, resolving the refugee crisis in Europe and beyond proved challenging until the 1951 UN Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees and its Additional Protocol of 1967 established the modern framework for international refugee law (IRL). In response to the post-World War II refugee situation in Europe, the International Refugee Organisation (IRO) was formed in 1947 as a temporary intergovernmental entity under the UN. The IRO managed refugee protection briefly from 1948 until its dissolution on June 30, 1950, after which the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) took over. The IRO was primarily directed by the United States and Western countries, which excluded the participation of the former Soviet Union. Eventually, it became clear that the broad mandate of the IRO addressed all facets of refugee issues, including registration, status determination, repatriation, and resettlement. Nonetheless, these global efforts have not succeeded in stopping the ongoing crisis. There is a multidimensional and comprehensive approach to address the been an increased growing challenge faced by refugees. Under Article 22 of the Charter of the United Nations, the General Assembly, in its resolution of December 1949, established the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) as the institutional successor to the International Refugee Organisation (IRO). On December 14, 1950, the UN General Assembly officially approved the UNHCR Statute to the extent it was applicable. The UNHCR's mandate involves collaborating with national governments to promote voluntary repatriation or integration into host

communities, providing international protection for refugees, and seeking long-lasting, sustainable solutions to the refugee crisis.<sup>1</sup>

#### WHO ARE REFUGEES?

An individual who is obliged to flee their home country due to a credible fear of persecution based on their religion, ethnicity, political beliefs, nationality, or participation in a social group is recognised as a refugee. The United Nations Convention of 1951 Relating to the Status of Refugees and the 1967 Protocol together establish a global legal framework for the protection of refugees and are the primary sources of this definition. While economic migrants choose to move in search of better living conditions, refugees are forced to leave because their freedom, lives, and safety are in jeopardy. They may be compelled to escape from wars, genocides, natural disasters, or repressive regimes that violate their fundamental human rights.

Individuals seeking refuge may experience significant challenges, including the loss of their homes, disruption of familial relationships, and an uncertain life under the laws of the countries that receive them. Some escape to nearby nations, while others embark on perilous journeys across continents, risking trafficking, detention, or facing hostility. Additionally, international law recognises specific rights for refugees, including the right not to be sent back to a country where they might face persecution. This principle from the 1951 Refugee Convention ensures that host nations offer protection and do not return refugees to dangerous situations.<sup>2</sup>

The UNHCR plays a crucial role in supporting displaced persons by providing humanitarian assistance, advocating for legislative reforms, and ensuring that refugees receive adequate legal protections. Nevertheless, global refugee crises are complex, with millions still living in overcrowded camps with limited food supplies, inadequate healthcare, and few educational or employment opportunities. Addressing the challenges faced by refugees requires international collaboration, shared responsibility among nations, and sustainable solutions that reconcile humanitarian needs with national interests. The global community has a moral duty to recognise and protect the rights of refugees, in addition to its legal responsibilities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees 1951

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ibid

### INTERNATIONAL LAW REGARDING REFUGEES

The 1951 Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees and the 1967 Protocol are key instruments that form the foundation of international refugee protection and law. The Convention is an extensive legal framework that defines the status of refugees while reiterating their rights and obligations to the nations that host them. A crucial aspect of refugee protection is the principle of non-refoulement, which is outlined in Article 33 of the Convention.<sup>3</sup> This principle safeguards the right of refugees to seek asylum in a safe country by banning their removal or deportation to a nation where they may encounter persecution. Since this principle is considered customary international law, even nations not parties to the Convention must adhere to it.<sup>4</sup>

The 1951 Convention defines a refugee as an individual who has a legitimate fear of persecution due to their religion, nationality, race, membership in a certain social group, or political beliefs, and who is unwilling or unable to return to their home country. This definition is the basis for determining refugee status across various legal systems. However, it has faced criticism for its limited scope since it does not include refugees who are displaced as a result of climate change, widespread violence, or economic pressure. The 1967 Protocol addressed some of these limitations by removing geographical and temporal constraints that were initially part of the Convention, thereby expanding the reach of international law regarding refugees. In addition to the 1951 Convention, various regional agreements have supplemented international refugee law. The 1969 OAU Refugee Convention, established by African nations, broadens the definition of refugees to individuals fleeing from widespread violence, foreign aggression, or occupation. Similarly, the 1984 Cartagena Declaration in Latin America provides a broader range of refugee protection, focusing on humanitarian responses to large-scale displacement. The Common European Asylum System (CEAS) represents the European Union's framework for asylum policy and refugee protection, ensuring cooperation among its member states.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees 1951, art 33

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Ibid

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees 1967

International refugee law requires states to take actions that go beyond merely refraining from repatriating individuals. The two primary responsibilities that nations hold are to determine refugee status (RSD) and to provide asylum. <sup>6</sup>While international human rights law recognises the right to seek asylum, this right does not guarantee that a state will necessarily grant asylum to refugees. States are obliged to maintain fair and effective asylum procedures, ensuring that refugees have access to legal representation and the opportunity to appeal decisions that deny them protection.

Despite these legal guarantees, many nations implement restrictive asylum practices, such as prolonged detention, border pushbacks, and high evidentiary demands, which compromise the principles of refugee protection.

The issue of sustainable solutions is a crucial aspect of international refugee law. The UNHCR promotes three primary solutions: local integration, resettlement, and voluntary repatriation. Local integration involves granting refugees in the host country permanent residency and the right to work, enabling them to settle with dignity. Resettlement serves as a solution for those refugees who cannot safely remain in their original country of asylum and need refuge in a third country. However, resettlement options are restricted by stringent immigration policies and geopolitical considerations. Voluntary repatriation is considered the most preferred solution for refugees, involving their return to their home country once conditions have sufficiently improved. However, this process must be carried out in a manner that ensures their safety, dignity, and informed consent. When forced returns happen without necessary safety measures, it violates international law and increases the risks faced by refugees. Human rights agreements play a vital role in protecting refugees beyond the framework of the Convention. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), and the Convention Against Torture (CAT) offer legal safeguards against arbitrary detention, torture, and discrimination, which are crucial for ensuring refugee protection. Furthermore, the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) highlights the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Handbook on Procedures and Criteria for Determining Refugee Status under the 1951 Convention and the 1967 Protocol relating to the Status of Refugees (United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees 2019)

protection needs of refugee children. It mandates that states ensure access to health care, education, and family reunification.

However, the implementation of refugee law faces significant obstacles. One of the most pressing issues is the failure of states to comply with international law. Many countries adopt restrictive border measures to deter asylum seekers, such as imposing visa requirements, conducting pushbacks at sea, and implementing external asylum processing. For example, the European Union's Dublin Regulation has led to an uneven distribution of asylum responsibilities, heavily impacting frontline nations like Greece and Italy. Similarly, Australia's offshore detention policy has faced severe criticism for violating refugee rights as outlined by international law.<sup>7</sup>

One significant issue is the upcoming wave of climate refugees. Although millions are uprooted due to rising sea levels, severe weather events, and desertification, there is no existing international legislation that classifies displacement due to climate change as a justification for refugee status. The UNHCR and legal experts have urged the expansion of the definition of refugee to address this gap. Still, the reluctance of states to take on additional legal obligations remains a major obstacle.

The UNHCR enforces international refugee law. As the primary United Nations agency responsible for protecting refugees, the UNHCR provides legal assistance, emergency support, and advisory services to states and non-governmental organisations. Moreover, the UNHCR monitors the Global Compact on Refugees (GCR), an international initiative that encourages nations to share responsibilities and improve refugee integration. However, the UNHCR faces substantial challenges, including a lack of funding, political opposition, and operational difficulties in conflict zones. The worldwide rise in displaced persons has put immense pressure on the agency's resources, making international cooperation more essential than ever. To enhance refugee law within the framework of international law, several actions should be pursued. Governments must reaffirm their dedication to the principle of non-refoulement and refrain from implementing laws that could undermine refugee protection. Additionally, asylum

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Dublin Regulation 2013

procedures must be overhauled to ensure they are fair, efficient, and accessible to all applicants. Furthermore, the international community must advance towards legally recognising climate refugees and establishing protective measures for them. Lastly, there is a need for increased international collaboration and agreements on burden-sharing to effectively address mass displacement crises and ensure a fair distribution of responsibilities among nations.

#### REFUGEES AND HUMAN RIGHTS

All fundamental freedoms and rights recognised by international human rights frameworks also apply to refugees and asylum seekers. When assessing assistance for refugees, the overarching aim of safeguarding human rights must be considered. The fact that two distinct institutions were set up by states to address human rights and refugee issues after World War II does not diminish the link between these two matters. The idea that the United Nations Human Rights and the High Commissioner for Refugees share the same goal—namely, the promotion of human dignity—ties their work.<sup>8</sup>

The following inquiries arise from the direct link between human rights and refugees: First, how does international law define a refugee, and what entitlements do they possess? What rights are available to asylum seekers who do not meet the criteria established in the 1951 Convention and the 1967 Protocol? How does the distinction between economic migrants and refugees manifest? Is it permissible for the international community to refuse protection to individuals who assert they are not secure in their home countries? Additionally, what relationship is there between human rights violations and large-scale migrations? To what extent do abuses act as catalysts for mass exoduses? Which rights are infringed upon for refugees en route to a host country? Finally, how are human rights and the process of repatriation interconnected? If a home country fails or refuses to uphold its citizens' civil, economic, social, political, and cultural rights, can repatriation still be considered voluntary?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Universal Declaration of Human Rights 1948

# **REFUGEES' RIGHTS**

As a result of a series of legal and institutional responses, our current comprehension of international protection has evolved. The principal duties of the High Commissioner for Refugees are to advocate for refugees and to seek sustainable solutions to refugee crises. Operationally, international protection entails preventing refoulement, ensuring physical safety for refugees, supporting and promoting voluntary repatriation, aiding in the resettlement of refugees, streamlining the processing of asylum seekers, and providing them with legal assistance and guidance, as outlined in item 8 of the UNHCR Office's Statute. By this, international protection is implemented through a legally based function that the High Commissioner must uphold. Protection is not a separate right per se but is a fundamental aspect found within the most basic principles of the 1951 Convention, particularly the principle of non-refoulement.

Due to the refugee mandate, a considerable portion of universally recognised human rights is also applicable to refugees by default. These rights encompass the right to nationality, the right to life, the right to freedom of movement, the right to leave any country—including one's own—the right to return to any country without facing mistreatment or inhuman, cruel, or degrading treatment, and the right not to be returned in a manner that infringes on one's rights. All of these rights, among others, are assured to every individual, whether a citizen or non-citizen, by the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, and the International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights, which together form the International Bill of Human Rights.

a) Article 9 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights<sup>9</sup> states that 'no person shall be subjected to arbitrary arrest, detention, or exile'; (b) 'Everyone has the right to seek and enjoy asylum from persecution in other nations.' (Article 14 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights); (c) 'Everyone has the right to possess a nationality' (Article 15 of the same document); (d) 'Everyone has the right to move freely and live within the borders of each State.' (Article 13

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Universal Declaration of Human Rights 1948, art 9

of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights; Article 12 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights).<sup>10</sup>

#### **NON-REFOULEMENT**

The International Bill of Human Rights does not explicitly outline the essential rights of refugees. A crucial aspect of international protection is the principle that individuals should not be forcibly taken or returned to a country where their freedom or life may be in danger. This nonrefoulement principle is established in Article 33 of the 1951 Convention. The concept of nonrefoulement serves as a universal safeguard in international humanitarian, human rights, refugee, and customary law. If there are sufficient grounds to believe that a person may face irreversible harm upon return, such as abuse, persecution, torture, or other significant violations of human rights, nations are prohibited from deporting or transferring that individual from their jurisdiction or effective control. Under international human rights law, refoulement is explicitly forbidden by the Convention against Torture and Other Inhuman, Cruel, or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CAT) and the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance (ICPPED). The European Union's Charter of Fundamental Rights and regional instruments like the American Convention on Human Rights and the Inter-American Convention on the Prevention of Torture clearly articulate this norm. According to national courts, international human rights organisations, and regional human rights tribunals, this standard is an implicit obligation arising from commitments to protect, uphold, and promote human rights. Individual communications regarding non-refoulment continue to be submitted to human rights treaty bodies, including the Human Rights Committee, the Committee on the Rights of the Child, the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination Against Women, and the Committee Against Torture.<sup>11</sup>

International human rights law forbids the removal or transfer of individuals, regardless of their status, when there are concerns that the person returning may face severe harm due to mistreatment, torture, or other significant human rights abuses. One of the principles that prohibits torture and other forms of inhumane, cruel, or degrading treatment or punishment is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Universal Declaration of Human Rights 1948

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment 1987, art 3

the non-refoulement norm, which is unwavering. Compared to international refugee law, this principle is more commonly applied within human rights law under relevant agreements. This restriction applies to individuals regardless of their nationality, immigration status, citizenship, or lack of citizenship, and is enforceable anywhere and at any time a State has jurisdiction or effective control over them, even if they are not present within its borders.

#### ISSUE IMPACTING ASYLUM SEEKERS AND REFUGEES

As of January 31, 2022, UNHCR India has registered more than 46,000 refugees and asylum-seekers. It is likely that there will be an increase in the number of individuals relocating due to poverty, insecurity, insufficient basic services, conflict, environmental decline, and disasters. In India, most refugees and asylum seekers reside in urban areas alongside host communities. Children account for 36% of the refugee population, while women and girls represent 46%.<sup>12</sup>

**Health:** Refugees and asylum seekers represent a diverse group with various health needs that may differ from those of the local population. Unlike the general populace, refugees experience significantly higher rates of depression, PTSD, and schizophrenia due to their exposure to systemic persecution and trauma related to war. Addressing disability issues is even more complex. Women and girls often struggle to access services and protection from sexual and gender-based violence. The challenges of encountering traumatic experiences and stressors like abuse and exploitation, as well as limited access to healthcare, are particularly intensified for refugee and migrant children, especially those who are unaccompanied. The scarcity of healthcare facilities and medication shortages complicate the process of receiving health treatments, which are primarily available in humanitarian settings.

**Employment:** Refugees and asylum seekers encounter numerous obstacles to employment in the government healthcare and education sectors because they are considered foreign nationals. One significant factor contributing to the low social status of migrants is their self-imposed limitations. Negative impacts on their psychological health have been observed; they have endured both physically and mentally.

<sup>12</sup> Ibid

**Education:** The lack of proper documentation from refugees and asylum seekers hinders their children's access to university-level education. A portion of this population, under the auspices of the UNHCR, does not need to submit additional documentation and may have the opportunity for higher education.

**Housing:** Asylum seekers and refugees face the challenge of insufficient permanent housing, leading them to struggle with daily survival and preventing them from finding employment. Women and children within refugee and asylum-seeking communities are particularly affected. Maternal and sexual health services are often inadequate for women who are refugees or asylum seekers. For many, lockdowns have resulted in disrupted access to mental health services, psychiatric medications, and treatments.

Refugee women find themselves isolated from their networks of friends, family, and community, and the lockdowns have further dismantled support systems that might protect them from abuse. In India, social interactions are largely dominated by men, leaving refugee women isolated and voiceless. Their precarious legal status breeds a deep mistrust of authorities due to fears of deportation and arrest, along with limited access to information in languages they understand.

These factors collectively create significant barriers to accessing essential public services. Asylum seekers and refugees encounter additional challenges. Their children grow up in a different environment, which often leaves them sidelined as their immigrant or refugee parents navigate new circumstances. The daily routines continue, and over time, the parent-child relationship may suffer. If children are raised in an English-speaking country, the customs and culture may feel alien to them, even if they have become second nature due to their upbringing. In these situations, children find themselves relying solely on schools and teachers, without educational support from their migrant or refugee parents.

**Child Refugee:** An unattended child refugee, or one attended to by others, must receive appropriate protection and humanitarian aid to ensure they can benefit from the rights established under the Child Rights Convention, as recognised in the case of the refugee referred to here. This applies to a State Party under the CRC that has not ratified any agreements about

refugees, yet has not implemented any national regulations on the subject, and remains obligated to provide the child refugee with sufficient protection and support. Article 22(2) specifies that the State Party is required to assist the UN or any other organisation expressing a desire to care for and support such a child, as well as to aid in family reunification.<sup>13</sup>

In India, there is no specific legislation addressing the issue of asylum seekers and refugees. Currently, refugees are regarded in the same manner as foreign nationals, subjected to the same regulations that govern foreigners. The Indian judiciary utilises domestic laws concerning foreigners and illegal immigrants to determine the legal status of refugees and asylum seekers. These include:

- The Passport (Entry into India) Act 1920
- The Passport Act 1967
- The Foreigners Act 1946
- The Foreigners Order 1948
- The Registration of Foreigners Act 1939

This statute, which applies to everyone entering or exiting the country, requires that all individuals entering India possess a valid passport. The central government has the authority under this statute to establish regulations, which it did through the creation of the 'Passport (Entry into India) Rules, 1950'. No one is permitted to enter India without a passport. However, due to the principle of 'non-refoulement,' such a requirement cannot be enforced in the case of refugees. The ruling in Nandita Haksar v State of Manipur established that the 'non-refoulement' principle is also encompassed by Article 21 of the Constitution. Refugees cannot be denied entry into India when seeking protection, nor can they be deported to their country of origin if they lack specific travel documents. Furthermore, the Court deemed it 'manifestly inhuman' to apply domestic laws to these individuals who need life-saving protection.

The Passport Act 1967: It describes the process through which Indian citizens and others can receive passports and various travel documents, as well as how to regulate their international

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Convention on the Rights of the child 1989, art 22(2)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Nandita Haksar v State of Manipur (2021) WP (Crl) No 6/2021

travel. It issues different types of passports to different categories of individuals. Furthermore, it permits the arrest of anyone who attempts to provide false information regarding a passport. By Article 20 of the Act, the Central Government may issue passports to foreign nationals if deemed necessary for public interest. This provision also allows for the protection of asylum seekers and refugees from mistreatment while abroad, thereby enabling the government to issue them passports when it serves the public interest.

The Registration of Foreigners Act 1939: This legislation pertains to the registration of foreign nationals in India. It grants the central government the power to create regulations that mandate foreign nationals to inform the appropriate authorities regarding their arrival, residence, movements, departure, and identity, among other details. Furthermore, it obligates individuals who frequently engage with foreigners to report their activities. Section 4 of this law places the onus of proof on those who are uncertain about their status as foreigners. When applied to refugees and asylum seekers, this aspect of the statute can be particularly burdensome. For these individuals, the requirements for proof and documentation become significantly more complicated. Authorities have requested this information to verify the legitimacy of applicants, including asylum seekers and refugees, before making any determinations.

The Foreigners Act 1946: The Central government holds authority over foreigners according to this Act. The central government is empowered to issue orders that impose various restrictions on foreigners. They can create distinct orders for specific classes or categories of foreigners due to the scope of their authority. Moreover, the designated authorities were once again granted full discretion to 'enforce' compliance with these laws, and alternatively, to make arrests and detain individuals. Provisions in this act, along with the Court's endorsement of them, encompass rights such as the right to expel, the right to serve an expulsion order, and the right to use necessary force. Consequently, this legislation can lead to harsh penalties or extended detention for asylum seekers and refugees.

**Foreigners Order 1948:** The Foreigners Order, 1948, is a legal instrument issued under the Foreigners Act, 1946, empowering the Indian government to regulate the entry, stay, and exit of foreigners in India. It authorises authorities to impose restrictions, require registration, and

detain or deport individuals without valid documentation or a legal right to remain in the country.

The Central Government has made this choice based on the powers granted by Section 3 of the Foreigners Act of 1946. This section provides reasons for both allowing and denying entry into India. If they are classified alongside refugees, they may be held in transit camps and regarded as illegal migrants. Due to the variety in their situations, officials should evaluate their cases from a humanitarian perspective.

#### CONCLUSION

The protection of refugee rights within the framework of international law presents a multifaceted challenge that necessitates a careful balance between the sovereign rights of states and their humanitarian obligations under international conventions. The foundational instruments governing this area—the 1951 Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees and its 1967 Protocol—establish the legal definition of a refugee and outline the obligations of states toward those seeking asylum. However, the effectiveness of these instruments has been increasingly compromised by restrictive national policies, inconsistent implementation across jurisdictions, and the growing complexity of displacement drivers, including armed conflict, systemic persecution, environmental degradation, and climate change. Central to refugee protection is the principle of non-refoulement, enshrined in Article 33 of the 1951 Convention, which prohibits states from returning refugees to territories where they may face threats to their life or freedom. Despite this foundational principle, numerous states continue to adopt deterrent measures such as border closures, prolonged detention, and expedited deportations, often in contravention of their international legal commitments.

In light of these persistent and evolving challenges, it is imperative to propose comprehensive reforms aimed at strengthening the international refugee protection regime. Firstly, there is an urgent need for enhanced multilateral cooperation and equitable burden-sharing among states. The author proposes the establishment of binding international agreements that facilitate the fair distribution of asylum responsibilities, particularly to support frontline states disproportionately affected by refugee inflows. Secondly, the author recommends a substantive

expansion of international legal frameworks to formally recognise and include individuals displaced by climate-induced disasters and other environmental factors, who currently fall outside the conventional refugee definition despite facing comparable threats to their safety and well-being. Furthermore, states must be urged to implement fair, transparent, and rights-based asylum procedures. The author suggests the incorporation of procedural safeguards that prevent arbitrary detention, ensure timely adjudication of refugee status claims, and guarantee access to legal representation and appeal mechanisms. These procedural standards should be embedded within domestic legislation and monitored through independent oversight bodies to ensure compliance with international norms. In addition to legal reforms, addressing the root causes of forced migration-namely, conflict, human rights violations, and economic instability – must be central to the global refugee agenda. International actors should invest in conflict prevention, peacebuilding, and sustainable development initiatives in regions of origin to reduce displacement pressures over the long term. Equally important is the need for inclusive national policies that promote the socio-economic integration of refugees within host societies. The author advocates for policies that guarantee refugees access to employment opportunities, quality education, healthcare services, and housing, which not only uphold human dignity but also enable refugees to contribute meaningfully to their host communities. To foster more welcoming and tolerant societies, states should actively partner with civil society organisations, community groups, and international institutions to combat xenophobia, misinformation, and discriminatory rhetoric directed at refugees. Public awareness campaigns and intercultural dialogue initiatives can play a crucial role in shaping positive public attitudes toward displaced populations. Lastly, the author strongly recommends increasing the financial and operational capacity of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) through sustained funding commitments and enhanced collaboration with non-governmental organisations. Strengthening the UNHCR's mandate will allow it to more effectively coordinate humanitarian responses, monitor state compliance with international obligations, and support resettlement and reintegration efforts. In conclusion, a renewed and unified global commitment to asylum reform is essential to safeguard the dignity, rights, and security of refugees. By advancing international solidarity, reinforcing legal protections, and addressing structural shortcomings within current systems, the international community can develop a more just, humane, and

sustainable refugee protection framework capable of responding to both present and future displacement crises.