Jus Corpus Law Journal
Open Access Law Journal – Copyright © 2022 – ISSN 2582-7820
Editor-in-Chief – Prof. (Dr.) Rhishikesh Dave; Publisher – Ayush Pandey



# Comprehensive Analysis of Arbitration as an Alternate Dispute Resolution

Ansi Palandi[a]

[a]Indian Institute of Management, Rohtak, India

*Received* 24 July 2022; *Accepted* 22 August 2022; *Published* 25 August 2022

*Arbitration is a crucial improvement that is a gift to our system of government. A disagreement is brought before one or more arbitrators, who then provide a formal decision. in contrast to litigation, the parties can save money by agreeing to the norms of arbitration. Fairness in the arbitration process is disallowed by the appointment of its officers as arbitrators. Unlike court judgments, arbitration decisions are easier to enforce abroad. arbitration might even be viewed as taking precedence rather than merely taking second place. This journal draws attention to the mandatory adherence to acknowledged international practices that must become the standard and not just an exception to the rule. UNCITRAL (United Nations Commission on International Trade Law) framework of laws with the thought to modernize Republic of Indian arbitration law and convey it in line with the most influential world practices and additionally create India as a world hub for arbitration. The highlighting part of the paper talks about the meaning of arbitration, Arbitration as an Alternate dispute resolution method, and also analyses its relevance and the nature of arbitration along with its principal characteristics and its legislation. The conclusive part analyses drawbacks and areas of improvement with briefcase analysis amendment of 2015 with briefcase analyses such as Booz Allen and Hamilton INC v SBI Home Finance Ltd. (2011) and Union of Republic of Asian nation vs Singh Builders Syndicate, (2009). This journal ends with recommendations and suggestions which highlight that Arbitration could even be seen as the priority rather than just playing the second fiddle to the Indian court proceedings work, and there should be many lawyers who specialize in it.*

**Keywords:** *arbitration, ADR, dispute.*

## INTRODUCTION

A procedure in which an impartial third party renders a formal judgment to assist the parties in resolving without the need for a court.

## ETYMOLOGY FOR ARBITRATION

"Middle English arbitration, borrowed from Anglo-French arbitration, borrowed from Latin arbitrātiōn-, arbitrātiō, from arbitrārī "to consider, judge, ARBITRATE" + -tiōn-, -tiō, a suffix of verbal action."

## DEFINITION BY EXPERTS

"By mutual consent of the parties, a dispute is presented to one or more arbitrators through the arbitration process, who then render an official ruling on the matter. The parties choose arbitration as their method of private dispute settlement rather than going to court."[1]

### Legal Definition

"An ADR method with one or more persons hearing a dispute and rendering a binding decision. An agreement to arbitrate disputes can be made before or after a specific dispute arises. Since the parties can agree to arbitration rules (e.g., selecting qualified arbitrators with knowledge of the issues), they can save costs compared to litigation."[2]

### Arbitration as an Alternate dispute resolution method

"The arbitration may be a method of an ADR whereby the dispute between or among parties goes with the plan to realize associate degree well-meaning resolution by associate degree impartial third party called associate degree 'arbitrator,' while not recourse to the proceeding. Once reviewing the dispute between the parties, the judge involves a settlement within the

[1] 'What is Arbitration' (*WIPO*) <https://www.wipo.int/amc/en/arbitration/what-is-arb.html> accessed 22 July 2022

[2] 'Definition of Arbitration' (*Cornell Law University*) <https://www.law.cornell.edu/wex/arbitration> accessed 22 July 2022

arbitration case. Such a choice taken by the associate degree arbiter shall be binding on each party. In contrast to alternative dispute resolution strategies, neither will withdraw from the procedure once the parties have submitted an interest arbitration." Either a requirement or a voluntary arbitration will take place. In the instance of forced arbitration, the parties to the dispute enter into the arbitration agreement either under a statute, a court order, or through a specific clause included in the parties' written agreement. On the other hand, the decision to submit a dispute to voluntary arbitration is left up to the parties. The decision made as a result of continuing is known as an "arbitral award" for an associate's degree.

## RELEVANCE OF ARBITRATION AS AN ALTERNATE DISPUTE RESOLUTION SYSTEM

The growth of arbitration signifies an essential modification that's a gift in our method of government. Another significance is deciding the matters in a considerably lesser quantity of your time and the different or separate clauses mentioned within the business contract. These are paving the method for the foremost effective and the most fitted remedy while not having to travel through the recourse of the courtrooms. Arbitration is usually the only economical remedy for the settlement of disputes amongst the parties, which genuinely doesn't need any lengthy procedures of the Court for the choices to be created. It's efficient, time-saving, and conjointly permits one to settle on their arbitrators.

## ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF ARBITRATION

### *Advantages of arbitration:*

**Flexibility -** Arbitration continuing is considered more versatile and economically extra than continuing.

**Time-Consuming -** Arbitration proceedings occur at an economical associate rate compared to litigation, saving time for each party.

**Confidentiality -** The disputes subject to arbitration square measured are treated with privacy and don't seem accessible to the general public.

**Arbitrator -** The parties have the freedom to determine an associate opts to handle their dispute.

**Enforceability -** Arbitration awards square measure typically more straightforward to enforce than court verdicts.

***Disadvantages of arbitration:***

If arbitration is essential as per the contract between the parties, their right to approach the court is waived.

There is a restricted avenue for appeals.

Arbitration doesn't offer grant of speech applications.

The awards given in arbitration are subjected to judicial sanctions.

**THE PRINCIPLE CHARACTERISTIC OF ARBITRATION AS AN ADR SYSTEM**

Its principal characteristics are:

***Arbitration is accordant***

The arbitration will solely present itself if all parts have united to it. The parties include an associate degree clause in the applicable contract to cover conflicts that may arise in the future. A submission agreement between the parties will be used to declare any current disputes subject to arbitration. A party cannot unilaterally leave arbitration, in contrast to mediation.

***The parties opt for the arbitrator(s)***

The parties have the option of choosing a single decision under the WIPO Arbitration Rules. If they want a three-member arbitration assembly, each party will choose one of the judges, and the two of them will decide on the presiding mediator together. Instead, the centre may provide possible arbitrators with advice from experts in the field or may actively designate arbitrators. The middle maintains a comprehensive roster of arbitrators that spans the legal

and technological spectrum, from seasoned dispute-resolution generalists to highly specialized practitioners and consultants.

***Arbitration is neutral***

Parties will choose these crucial components in addition to neutrals who are in good standing because of the arbitration's applicable law, language, and location. This enables them to ensure that no party gains an unfair advantage in court. Arbitration is also a confidential procedure. The WIPO Rules expressly protect the confidentiality of the arbitration's existence, any disclosures made during the proceeding, and consequently, the decision. Guarantee conditions; the WIPO Rules alter a party to restrict community access to trade secrets, other instructions provided to the arbitration assembly, or a confidentiality authority.

***The arbitration assembly's ruling is final and enforceable***

According to the WIPO Rules, the parties have adjusted to choose the arbitration assembly directly. International awards are allowed to be completely lost sight of in a very small number of conditions, according to the Convention, which is enforced by national courts to a lower place. The present Convention is a party to more than 100 65 States.

**DRAWBACKS AND AREAS OF IMPROVEMENT WITH BRIEFCASE ANALYSIS**

**Lack or less expertise in arbitration in India**

India is AN rising world economic powerhouse. To stay pace and integrate with worldwide business people, our laws have often undergone amendments to keep the Republic of India at par with legal regimes concerning jurisprudence in different planet components.

**International state of affairs:**

- The majority of arbitration in the Republic of India still occurs unexpectedly, and institutional arbitration accounts for a very small part of all arbitrations conducted, notwithstanding changes to the current statute creating arbitration and other types of ADR preferred judicial proceedings. Although there are numerous arbitration

institutions in the Republic of India, parties that choose ad hoc arbitration frequently ask the courts to create arbitrational tribunals by the applicable sections of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.

- The Republic of India has about 35 arbitration centres, although none of them can be compared to renowned worldwide institutions such as the International Court of Arbitration, the London Court of International Arbitration, the Singapore International Arbitration Centre, etc. The result Due to a perceived lack of experience, networking, consistent payment of arbitrators, and skill even in help services arbitration disputes in the Republic of India, international firms entering into business agreements with Indian corporations typically prefer a remote arbitration centre.
- Although there aren't many trustworthy mediation centres in the Republic of Asian nations, there are still a lot of myths about institutional arbitration. • There may be a need for mandatory adherence to acknowledged international practices that must become the standard and not just an exception to the rule; • There isn't enough political backing and excitement for institutional arbitration.
- Due to its location as the site of arbitration, the Republic of Asian nations received support from international commercial houses.

**Domestic state of affairs:**

- There may be a cap on the point in time for the alternatives of arbitration proceedings, but it's hardly adhered to. The timeline for finishing the party's pleadings is additionally seldom complied with. Expertise is absent in drafting arbitration petitions.
- Area unit occasions cause delays in mediation proceedings once the parties apply for interim relief that may not be considered in the united timelines.
- Some delays are not related to the scheduled time for hearing the witnesses; there is a knowledge gap among the present attorneys and arbitrators, perhaps as a result of their extracurricular activities or hectic schedules. • Appointing its officers as arbitrators by some institutions and government bodies excludes fairness in the arbitration proceedings. This ultimately results in the date for framing the issues taking a lot of

time; then, there is any delay in producing proof despite multiple dates that unit of measurement given.

- There might not be enough experience writing arbitration petitions.
- Despite expectations, arbitration in the Republic of Asian nations has not been as popular as expected. The independence and disposition of the arbitrator are two conditions for any arbitration proceeding.

Contrary to the notion that a mediation dispute comes to an end after the award is asserted, an arbitrator's duties require him to rise above the biassed interests of the parties and act impartially so on not in the particular interests of either party. The crucial due procedure often begins once the prize is awarded following the announcement. Legislators decide to create mediation awards that can be contested in trial courts. Therefore, the World Health Organization won't consider the mediation award until the predicament and dilemma of a specific person are known**.** The delays caused by the court's intervention drain the parties' finances because of arbitration, then become legal proceedings in disguise.

**CASE: Union of Republic of Asian nation vs Singh Builders Syndicate, (2009)[3]**

In this case, the Supreme Court determined that "it was unfortunate that delays, high cost, frequent and usually unwarranted judicial interruptions at entirely different stages unit of measurement seriously preventative the growth of arbitrations that's a wonderful alternative dispute resolution methodology." The Apex Court to boot completely necessary to hunt out an essential resolution to high arbitration costs and opined that institutional arbitration has equated to providing a solution. To make the Republic of Asian nation AN arbitration-friendly destination, high costs unit of measurement is a hurdle; however, to be cross the upper than points unit of measure is the most reason why arbitration within the Republic of Asian nation isn't growing faster and why there is a desperate have to be compelled to inject experience into our arbitration proceedings.

[3] *Union of Republic of Asian nation v Singh Builders Syndicate* (2009) Civil Appeal No. 3632/2007

## ANALYSIS OF THE ARBITRATION AND CONCILIATION ACT OF 1996 AND THE AMENDMENT ACT OF 2015

The 'Arbitration associate degreed Conciliation Act 1996' is an associate act regulating domestic arbitration in the Republic of India. It was wholly amended in 2015 and 2019. "With the introduction of the Arbitration and Conciliation (Amendment) Bill, 2015 into Parliament, the Government of the Republic of India decided to change the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996. The President of the Republic of India published an Ordinance (Arbitration and Conciliation (Amendment) Ordinance, 2015) amending the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 to establish arbitration as the most popular method of resolving commercial, economic, and industrial disputes and to establish the Republic of India as a centre of international business arbitration. Modifications to the 2015 Arbitration and Conciliation Bill have received approval from the Union Cabinet, which is presided over by the Prime Minister.

**2015 amendment:**

The following unit of measurement is the salient choice of the new ordinance, introduced in 2015:

The ordinance's connectedness definition of the word "court" is the first and most important change made. Regarding the concept of "Court," the revised law clearly distinguishes between international and domestic industrial arbitration. "As far as domestic arbitration is concerned, the concept of "Court" remains the same as it did under the 1996 Act, but the term "Court" has been publically created to signify judicature of competent jurisdiction only. Due to this, and by the new law, the district court will not have any jurisdiction during a global industrial arbitration."[4] In addition, the parties can anticipate a quicker and more efficient resolution of any issue by a judiciary that is better equipped to handle commercial disputes.

[4] Shubham Mishra, student of jindal global law school, 'Impact Of The Arbitration And Conciliation (Amendment) Act, 2021 On India'S Pro Arbitration Outlook' (*Bar and Bench*, 30 March 2021) <https://www.barandbench.com/apprentice-lawyer/impact-of-the-arbitration-and-conciliation-amendment-act-2021-on-indias-pro-arbitration-outlook> accessed 20 July 2022

A clause has been added to Section 2(2)[5] by the revision that states that, unless there is a contrary agreement, Sections 9 (interim measures), 27, (taking of evidence), and 37(1)(a)[6], and 37(3)[7], will also apply to international industrial arbitration. However, because the arbitration's location is outside of the Republic of India, the new statute has attempted to strike a compromise between the issues raised by the rulings in Bhatia International and Balco v Kaiser. The current version of Section 2(2) provides that Part-I shall apply when the arbitration is held within the Republic of India and that the provisions of Sections 9, 27, cardinal (1) (a), and cardinal (3) shall also apply to international industrial arbitration when the arbitration is held outside of the Republic of India.

The updated clause refers to referring the parties to the arbitration and requires the judicial authority to do so with respect to any action brought before it that relates to the arbitration agreement. In accordance with the updated sub-section (1), the judicial authority shall submit the parties to arbitration regardless of any ruling, judgment, or order made by the Supreme Court or another court, unless it finds that a valid arbitration agreement already exists. A clause must also be included allowing the party requesting the reference of the dispute to use the Court for a hearing if the parties applying for the reference of the arbitration disputes fail to provide the arbitration agreement or a certified copy from that location. Additionally, a change was made to Section 9 regarding "Interim Measures." According to the modified clause, if the Court issues an interim life of protection for the area before the start of arbitral proceedings, the arbitral procedures must start within 90 days of the Court's order or as soon as the Court determines is appropriate.

[5] Arbitration and Conciliation (Amendment) Act 2021, s 2(2)
[6] Arbitration and Conciliation (Amendment) Act 2021, ss 9, 27, and 37(1) (a)
[7] Arbitration and Conciliation (Amendment) Act 2021, s 37(3)

## LEGISLATION OF ARBITRATION

All disputes can not be settled by arbitration, and unit-bound disputes do not fall among the categories of arbitrable arguments. This was controlled at intervals in the case of Booz Allen and *Hamilton INC v SBI Home Finance Ltd. (2011).*[8]

**CASE:** *Booz Allen and Hamilton INC v SBI Home Finance Ltd. (2011*)

The disputing parties, throughout this case, were brothers and partners in a partnership firm that occasionally engaged in building management. The parties experienced certain corporate-related disagreements. Despite the associate provision being present in the partnership deed, when disagreements between the partners occurred regarding their firm, the Respondents brought a lawsuit against the additional partners (the Appellant) before the local District Court. The issue in question concerned obtaining a declaration that they, as partners, were qualified to take part in the management of the facility. To prevent the Appellant from interfering with their rights, they needed an enjoinment. The Appellant filed a claim under Section 8 of the 1996 Arbitration and Conciliation Act, "objecting to the maintainability of the complaint on the very thin pretense that the partnership deed had an associate clause and, as a result, the disagreements needed to be mentioned arbitration. Once the case was brought before the Supreme Court, both the District Court and the court denied the petition. Throughout the proceedings, the Bench concluded that the 1996 Act lacked any explicit provisions specifically excluding any class of issues and referring to them as non-arbitrable. The order, in this case, stipulated that discussions were to be non-arbitrable only in situations when the subject matter was entirely within the purview of the courts.

A right 'in rem' can not be arbitrable, but a request “in person” is possible of a private fora resolution," the Supreme Court enforced. The result of an arbitration agreement between the parties cannot be invalidated by a reasonable claim of fraud, according to Supreme Court precedent. These disputes are not subject to arbitration as a result of this case.

[8] *Booz Allen & Hamilton INC v SBI Home Finance Ltd* (2011) Civil Appeal No. 5440/2002

## INTERNATIONAL SOCIAL CONTROL

Arbitration awards are typically more straightforward to enforce abroad than court verdicts. A memento issued by an acquiring state is often freely adopted in the other acquiring form under the New York Convention of 1958, except for constrained, limited defences. Only foreign arbitration awards are carried out by the New York Convention. Wherever the award was made during a state other than the form of recognition or wherever foreign procedural legislation was used, an arbitrational call is foreign. Since the loser usually agrees to settle these disagreements willingly, there is typically no public record of them. A rule for the general disclosure of investor-state conflicts was published by UNCITRAL in 2014. The Convention might have as members practically every important business nation. Comparatively few nations, however, have a robust network for social control of cross-border judicial judgments. The awards are not just for damages, but. Although it's uncommon in reality, it is theoretically possible to get an enforceable order for carrying out an arbitration proceeding under the New York Convention, whereas typically only pecuniary decisions made by national courts are enforceable in the cross-border situation. An extensive list of reasons for social control challenges is provided in Article V of the New York Convention. To sustain the Legal Framework, this area unit is typically defined narrowly.

## RECOMMENDATION AND SUGGESTIONS

Being a world economic powerhouse and within the interest of integration with the worldwide community, Indian laws have repeatedly been amended to stay at par with legal regimes in alternative leading law merchant jurisdictions. UNCITRAL (United Nations Commission on International Trade Law) framework of laws with the thought to modernize Republic of Indian arbitration law and convey it in line with the most influential world practices and additionally create India as a world hub for arbitration. Though changes in the law have created arbitration as a preferred different to the proceeding, it's to be unbroken in mind that most arbitration in the Republic of India is spontaneous arbitration, with institutional arbitration still a minor proportion of all arbitration. Conducted. The arbitration can be made the primary choice of the public only by spreading awareness which also reduces

the burden of already burdened courts—the particular giving jurisdiction to this arbitration and teaching arbitration as specific and specialized courses just like contract and torts so we can have access to the excellent arbitrator in arbitration too.

## CONCLUSION

Arbitration was already practised in ancient India; it is not a replacement idea. However, arbitration is still in the early stages of growth and is not the preferred option for settling disputes in Asian countries. This arbitration system must be amended in the future to make it more useful for both domestic and international industrial arbitration disputes. One form of ADR that is accurately referred to as "arbitration" implies that conflicts are resolved outside of court. However, the court frequently intervenes in the arbitration process, negating the purpose of ADR. The Act has been amended, which is commendable, but arbitration still needs to be established before it can become the preferred method of dispute resolution in the Asian country. Power and skill in arbitration are unlikely to return with the simple imposition of statutory change. Arbitration could even be seen as the priority rather than just playing the second fiddle to the Indian court proceedings work, and there should be many lawyers who specialize in it. "How judicial interference in the arbitration process will take root once there is even the slightest unclearness and ambiguity within the arbitration law," according to ONGC v Saw Pipes (2003)[9], is an associate degree case. Since the intrusion is so significant, changing the law is essential to fixing it. Suggesting that the legal format of the arbitration method should bear a modification, retired judge of Asian nation, T.S. Thakur same that the shortage of expertise by arbitrators was "conveyance a bad name to the country. The same legal format of the arbitration method needs to be modified. The weighed down judiciary is confirmatory of the ADR mechanism of arbitration owing to the large pendency of cases."

[9] *ONGC v Saw Pipes* (2003) Appeal (Civil) No. 7419/2001